

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are all the claims currently pending in this Application.

Amendments

Claims 1, 13, and 20 are amended in order more fully to cover various aspects of Applicants' invention as disclosed in the specification. All amendments are fully supported in the originally-filed specification at least at page 13, lines 4-10 and page 15, lines 9-21. Entry of these amendments is respectfully requested.

Objection to the Specification and §112, First Paragraph Rejection

The Examiner objects to the specification as allegedly failing to support the claimed limitation of the memory area being identified by ID information of an authorized user. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Applicants submit that the limitation at issue is fully supported by the originally-filed specification at least at page 15, lines 9-21 which describe, as an example, that the folders are identified by IMSIs of individual users. Applicants respectfully request that the objection and rejection under §112, first paragraph be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Prior Art

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over Sashihara (U.S. Publication 2002/0165008) in view of Chujo (U.S. Publication 2002/0023156). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

The Examiner alleges that paragraphs [0036] to [0038] of Chujo teach the feature of dynamically creating a memory area in the mobile terminal. However, Chujo merely describes enlarging a storage quota allocated for a specified user, as required. The storage quota disclosed

by Chujo is allocated in advance for the user. Chujo does not teach or suggest creating a memory area specified for a user *when* a UIM card of the user is inserted.

Sashihara fails to remedy this deficiency of Chujo. Therefore, Applicants submit that claims 1, 13 and 20 are patentable over the cited art and that claims 2-12 and 14-19 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependencies. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of these claims be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,


SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: November 19, 2008


Laura Moskowitz
Registration No. 55,470